

Appendix D - Round Hill Area Residents Parking Scheme (Extension to Area J) Consultation Report November 2012

Background

In 2009 a leaflet and questionnaire, asking about support for a residents parking scheme for the area was sent to all properties in a large area to the north of Brighton covering Roundhill and roads to the south of the Fiveways junction bordered by Preston Road, Stanford Avenue and Ditchling Road. At this time, respondents for the whole area were not in favour but a section to the south of the proposed area bordered by Viaduct Road, Preston Road, Ditchling Rise and Ditchling Road were heavily in favour and so Area J was extended to cover this area.

Since then, the area to the North of London Road station and the Round Hill area have experienced parking pressures and the council has received requests to look at this area again to see whether support for a residents parking scheme has changed.

In September 2012 a leaflet and questionnaire giving details about proposals for a resident parking scheme was sent to all property addresses in the Round Hill area. The area to the North of London Road Station was consulted at the same time but the two areas are being considered separately.

Headline Findings

The consultation achieved a 34% response rate.

56% of respondents were in favour of joining the Area J Parking Zone.

Methodology

Brighton and Hove City Council Land and Property Gazeteer was used to provide 1260 property addresses in the Round Hill Area of Brighton. An information leaflet, detailed maps, a questionnaire and a prepaid envelope for reply was sent to each address. Respondents were invited to complete the survey online via the council's Consultation Portal should they wish to: 24 respondents (5.6%) chose this method.

Plans could also be viewed at exhibitions staffed by officers from Brighton & Hove City Council at:

Stanford Avenue Methodist Church:
1.30 pm to 5.30 pm, Thursday 25 October

3.30 pm to 7.30 pm, Friday 26 October

There was also an unstaffed exhibition at Hove Town Hall, Norton Road from Monday 1 October, 2012 to Wednesday 31 October, 2012, 9am to 5pm. There are 18 streets in the proposed scheme area.

433 valid responses¹ were received giving a response rate of 34%.

Results

Q1 Are you in favour of a residents parking scheme in your street?²

Yes		No		Total
No.	%	No.	%	
239	56	189	44	428

Results on a street by street basis were as follows:

Street	No. properties mailed	No. forms returned	Response rate %	Yes		No	
				No.	%	No.	%
Ashdown Road	16	6	37.5	2	33	4	66
Belton Close	5	5	100	1	20	4	80
Belton Road	41	24	58.5	10	42	14	58
Bromley Road	91	16	17.5	12	75	4	25
Canterbury Drive	78	9	11.5	5	62.5	3	37.5
Crescent Road	76	38	50	26	70	11	30
D'Aubigny Road	28	14	50	8	57	6	43
Ditchling Road (part)	195	26	13	10	38.5	15	61.5
Mayo Road	48	13	27	4	33	8	67
Prince's Crescent	96	42	44	28	67	14	33
Prince's Road	88	56	64	48	86	8	14
Richmond Road	159	73	46	29	40	43	60
Round Hill Road	15	5	33	2	40	3	60
Round Hill Street	24	10	42	5	50	5	50
Round Hill Crescent	244	74	30	37	50	37	50
Wakefield Place	4	2	50	2	100	0	0
Wakefield Road	50	16	32	10	62.5	6	27.5
Total	1260	443	34	239		185	

¹ 131 Responses from outside the area (x7) or where no street name was given (x6) have been removed from the analysis but included in an Appendix.

² 5 responses where people have not replied whether they are in favour of the proposed scheme have been removed from the analysis of this question.

Q2 Respondents were asked whether they are a resident, a business owner or manager or work in the area. Respondents could tick more than one option.

	No. responses	% respondents
Resident	418	96.5
Business owner or manager	25	6
Work in the area	17	4

Q3a How many cars in your household?

No. of cars	No. responses	Total No. cars	% respondents
0	4	0	1
1	252	252	73.5
2	80	160	23
3	6	18	2
4 or more	1	4	0.5
Total	343	434	100

343 respondents have at least 434 vehicles (= 1.3 vehicles per household).

Q3b Do you have access to off-street car parking?

Yes		No		Total
No.	%	No.	%	
51	14	352	86	357

Q4a What type of business do you own or manage in the area?

What type of business?	No. responses	% responses
Retail outlet	1	2.5
Office-based	12	37.5
Other, includes: 5 work from home, 4 gardeners, taxi driver, 2 therapists, painter/ decorator, cycle repairs, landlord	19	60
Total responses	32	100

Q4b How many vehicles are directly associated with your business?

No. of vehicles	No. responses	Total No. vehicles	% Respondents
1	16	16	67
2	1	2	4
3	2	6	8
4 or more	5	20	21
Total	24	44	100

24 respondents had at least 44 vehicles associated with their business (=1.8 vehicles per business).

Q5 Any other comments?

An open text box enabled respondents to add comments. Although expressed in residents' own words analysis of the open text shows common themes emerged and have been grouped as follows:

Comments	No. of times made
Don't want to pay to park / it is too expensive	43
Not enough residents parking spaces in the scheme	41
No need for a scheme	31
This is a money-making exercise	18
Scheme won't help the parking situation after 8 pm	16
Concerns about displacement	14
General positive comments	13
Unhappy about hours of operation	10
Don't want more double yellow lines or double yellow lines across driveways	10
Concerns about the costs of visitors parking	10
Needs enforcement of current illegal parking	10
Need more cycle parking in the area	4
More loading bays needed	2

Demographic Information

Gender

Gender	No.	%
Male	172	40
Female	194	45
Prefer not to say/ no reply	67	15.5
Total	433	100

Age

Age	No.	%
18-24	10	2
25-34	44	10
35-44	101	23
45-54	75	17
55-64	53	12
65-74	20	5
75+	17	4
Prefer not to say/ no reply	113	26
Total	433	100³

Disability

Disability	No.	%
Yes	52	12
No	276	64
Prefer not to say/ no reply	105	24
Total	433	100

³ Does not add up to 100 due to rounding

Ethnicity

Ethnicity		No.	%
White	English/ Welsh/ Scottish/ Northern Irish/ British	319	74
	Irish	9	2.1
	Gypsy	0	0
	Traveller	0	0
	Polish	1	0.2
	Portuguese	1	0.2
	Any other white background	15	3.5
Asian or Asian British	Bangladeshi	0	0
	Indian	1	0.2
	Pakistani	2	0.5
	Chinese	1	0.2
	Any other Asian background	0	0
Black or Black British	African	0	0
	Caribbean	1	0.2
	Sudanese	0	0
	Any other black background	0	0
Mixed	Asian & White	1	0.2
	Asian & Black African	1	0.2
	Asian & Black Caribbean	0	0
	White & Black African	0	0
	White & Black Caribbean	0	0
	Any other mixed background	2	0.5
Other ethnic group	Turkish	0	0
	Arab	1	0.2
	Japanese	1	0.2
	Other ethnic group	5	1.2
Prefer not to say/ no reply		72	16.4
Total		433	100